# 2018 Current Fiscal Year Report: National Human Genome Research **Institute Special Emphasis Panel**

Report Run Date: 06/05/2019 11:30:51 AM

2. Fiscal Year 1. Department or Agency

2018 Department of Health and Human Services

3b. GSA Committee 3. Committee or Subcommittee

No.

National Human Genome Research Institute Special

2085

**Emphasis Panel** 

4. Is this New During Fiscal 5. Current 6. Expected Renewal 7. Expected Term

Year? Charter Date Date

No 09/29/1995

8a. Was Terminated During 8b. Specific Termination 8c. Actual Term

FiscalYear? Authority Date

No

9. Agency Recommendation for Next10a. Legislation Req to 10b. Legislation

Terminate? **FiscalYear** Pendina?

Continue Not Applicable Not Applicable

11. Establishment Authority Authorized by Law

12. Specific Establishment 13. Effective 14. Commitee 14c.

Presidential? Authority Date Type

42 USC 282(b)(16) 11/20/1985 Continuing No

**15. Description of Committee** Special Emphasis Panel

16a. Total Number of No Reports for this

FiscalYear Reports

# 17a. Open 0 17b. Closed 14 17c. Partially Closed 0 Other Activities 0 17d. Total 14 Meetings and Dates

_		
Purpose	Start	End
NIH Peer Review	11/06/2017	- 11/06/2017
NIH Peer Review	11/17/2017	- 11/17/2017
NIH Peer Review	11/30/2017	- 11/30/2017
NIH Peer Review	03/05/2018	- 03/05/2018
NIH Peer Review	03/14/2018	- 03/14/2018
NIH Peer Review	03/20/2018	- 03/20/2018
NIH Peer Review	03/22/2018	- 03/22/2018
NIH Peer Review	03/27/2018	- 03/27/2018
NIH Peer Review	04/03/2018	- 04/03/2018
NIH Peer Review	04/09/2018	- 04/09/2018
NIH Peer Review	05/10/2018	- 05/10/2018
NIH Peer Review	06/13/2018	- 06/13/2018
NIH Peer Review	07/10/2018	- 07/10/2018
NIH Peer Review	07/10/2018	- 07/10/2018

**Number of Committee Meetings Listed: 14** 

	Current FY	Next FY
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members	\$28,400.00	\$28,400.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	\$470,631.00	\$479,572.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$53,739.00	\$54,089.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18c. Other(rents, user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	\$20,925.00	\$21,134.00
18d. Total	\$573,695.00	\$583,195.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)	2.70	2.70

#### 20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

Section 492 of the PHS Act states that The Secretary...shall by regulation require appropriate technical and scientific peer review of --(A) applications...; and (B) biomedical and behavioral research and development contracts.... This committee is composed entirely of recognized biomedical and/or behavioral research authorities who represent the forefront of research and technical knowledge and who provide first-level merit review of highly scientific and technical research grant applications (and/or contract proposals) in the areas relevant to genomic research including: construction of genetic maps, development of physical maps, determination of DNA sequences, management and analysis of the resulting data, development of innovative technologies required to achieve the above, and development of tools and resources supportive of this effort, as well as in areas relevant to the ethical, legal, and social implication of the genomic research.During this reporting period the committee reviewed 114 applications in the amount of \$282,428,106.

## 20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

The members of this committee are authorities knowledgeable in the fields of biologic informatics, software design of genetic information systems, molecular cytogenetics, human gene mapping, gene transfer, gene therapy, physical mapping, comparative genetics, genetic mapping, population genetics, epidemiology, developmental biology, informatics, sequencing, instrumentation computer analysis, chemistry and cytogenetics, and the ethical, legal, and social implications of human genome research.

### 20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

The committee held 14 meetings during this report period.

# 20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

This committee is composed entirely of recognized biomedical and/or behavioral research authorities who represent the forefront of research and technical knowledge and who provide first-level merit review of highly scientific and technical research grant applications and contract proposals. These evaluations and recommendations cannot be obtained from other sources because the specialized, complex nature of the applications and proposals requires a unique balance and breadth of expertise not available on the NIH staff or from establish sources.

#### 20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

The meetings of the National Human Genome Research Institute Special Emphasis Panel were closed to the public for the review of grant applications and/or contract proposals. Sections 552b(c)(4) and 552b(c)(6) of the Government in the Sunshine Act permit the closing of meetings where discussion could reveal confidential trade secrets or commercial property such as patentable material and personal information, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

#### 21. Remarks

Reports: This committee did not produce any public reports during the fiscal year. The DFO and Decision Maker are the same person, the Co-Chief of the Scientific Review Branch, NHGRI, based on the assignment of duties within the Institute. URL: The committee does not maintain a website. Zip Codes: Due to the large number of members associated with this committee, NIH staff are unable to provide individual zip codes for all members. Current individual meeting rosters, including zip codes are available on line at https://public.era.nih.gov/pubroster/.

## **Designated Federal Officer**

#### KEN D. NAKAMURA CO-CHIEF, SCIENTIFIC REVIEW BRANCH

Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
ADAMS, DAVID	03/14/2018	3 03/14/2018	B DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF CLINICAL GENOMICS	Peer Review Consultant Member
ADAMS, ROBERT	04/03/2018	3 04/03/2018	3 SENIOR ASSOCIATE	Peer Review Consultant Member
AKSIMENTIEV, OLEKSII	11/17/2017	7 11/17/2017	7 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
ALMASY, LAURA	05/10/2018	3 05/10/2018	3 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
ALMEIDA, JONAS	04/03/2018	3 04/03/2018	B PROFESSOR AND CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER	Peer Review Consultant Member
ALTMAN, RUSS	06/13/2018	3 06/13/2018	PROFESSOR OF BIOENGINEERING, GENETICS,  MEDICINE AND BIOMEDICAL DATA SCIENCE	Peer Review Consultant Member

APPELBAUM, PAUL	04/09/2018 04/09/2018 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
AVILLACH, PAUL	04/03/2018 04/03/2018 ASSISTANT PROFESSOR IN PEDIATRICS & BIOMEDICAL INFORMATICS	
BADER, GARY	11/30/2017 11/30/2017 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
BAILEY, DONALD	03/27/2018 03/27/2018 DISTINGUISHED FELLOW	Peer Review Consultant Member
BANDROWSKI, ANITA	04/03/2018 04/03/2018 FOUNDER AND CEO AT SCICRUNCH, INC.	Peer Review Consultant Member
BASSUK, ALEXANDER	05/10/2018 05/10/2018 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
BATEMAN, ALEX	04/03/2018 04/03/2018 SENIOR TEAM LEADER, PROTEIN SEQUENCE RESOURCES	Peer Review Consultant Member
BELMONT, JOHN	05/10/2018 05/10/2018 SENIOR PRINCIPAL MEDICAL SCIENTIST	Peer Review Consultant Member
BESKOW, LAURA	03/27/2018 03/27/2018 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
BIESECKER, BARBARA	04/09/2018 04/09/2018 SENIOR PUBLIC HEALTH ANALYST	Peer Review Consultant Member
BILGUVAR, KAYA	11/06/2017 11/06/2017 ASSISTANT PROFESSOR OF GENETICS	Peer Review Consultant Member
BLANCATO, JAN	07/10/2018 07/10/2018 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
BLOSS, CINNAMON	04/09/2018 04/09/2018 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
BOWDEN, DONALD	03/14/2018 03/14/2018 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
BROTHERS, KYLE	03/27/2018 03/27/2018 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
BROWN, C.	04/03/2018 04/03/2018 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
BURNS, KATHLEEN	03/05/2018 03/05/2018 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review
BUTCHER, REBECCA	03/05/2018 03/05/2018 ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	Consultant Member Peer Review Consultant Member
CAMBERG, JODI	03/22/2018 03/22/2018 ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
CHISHOLM, REX	03/05/2018 03/05/2018 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
CLAYTON, ELLEN	04/09/2018 04/09/2018 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
COHN, ELIZABETH	04/09/2018 04/09/2018 RUDIN CHAIR AND PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
COOK-DEEGAN, ROBERT	04/09/2018 04/09/2018 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
CRAWFORD, DANA	07/10/2018 07/10/2018 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
DAVIS, SEAN	04/03/2018 04/03/2018 STAFF SCIENTIST	Peer Review Consultant Member
DEACONESCU, ALEXANDRA	03/22/2018 03/22/2018 ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
DOLINSKI, KARA	11/30/2017 11/30/2017 DIRECTOR, GENOMIC DATABASES	Peer Review Consultant Member
DOYLE, SHANNON	03/22/2018 03/22/2018 STAFF SCIENTIST	Peer Review Consultant Member
DUBOIS, JAMES	03/27/2018 03/27/2018 PROFESSOR OF MEDICINE	Peer Review Consultant Member

EFCAVITCH, JOHN	11/17/2017 11/17/201	7 FOUNDER AND CHIEF SCIENTIFIC OFFICER	Peer Review Consultant Member
EPSTEIN, MICHAEL	05/10/2018 05/10/201	8 PROFESSOR OF HUMAN GENETICS	Peer Review Consultant Member
FESCHOTTE, CEDRIC	03/05/2018 03/05/201	8 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
FLANNERY, DAVID	03/14/2018 03/14/201	8 MEDICAL DIRECTOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
FLICEK, PAUL	06/13/2018 06/13/201	8 TEAM LEADER	Peer Review Consultant Member
FRANKEL, WAYNE	11/30/2017 11/30/201	7 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
FULLER, CARL	11/17/2017 11/17/201	7 CONSULTANT	Peer Review Consultant Member
FULLERTON,	07/10/2018 07/10/201	8 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review
STEPHANIE  GARCIA, BENJAMIN	11/30/2017 11/30/201	7 PROFESSOR	Consultant Member Peer Review
·	11/00/2011 11/00/201	7 1 No. 2000 N	Consultant Member
GARRISON, NANIBAA' GBADEGESIN,	04/09/2018 04/09/201	8 ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member Peer Review
RASHEED	05/10/2018 05/10/201	8 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Consultant Member
GELB, BRUCE	03/14/2018 03/14/201	DIRECTOR OF THE MINDICH CHILD HEALTH AND  8 DEVELOPMENT INSTITUTE	Peer Review Consultant Member
GINGERAS, THOMAS	06/13/2018 06/13/201	8 PROFESSOR AND HEAD	Peer Review Consultant Member
GODDARD, KATRINA	03/14/2018 03/14/201	8 SENIOR INVESTIGATOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
GOLDENBERG, AARON	03/27/2018 03/27/201	8 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
GRIFFIN, TIMOTHY	06/13/2018 06/13/201	8 PROFESSOR AND DIRECTOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
GUNDLACH, JENS	11/17/2017 11/17/201	7 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
HAGA, SUSANNE	03/05/2018 03/05/201	8 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR IN MEDICINE	Peer Review Consultant Member
HAMILTON, CAROL	04/03/2018 04/03/201	8 DIRECTOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
HARRIS, TIMOTHY	11/17/2017 11/17/201	7 DIRECTOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
HA, TAEKJIP	11/17/2017 11/17/201	7 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
HAUSSLER, DAVID	06/13/2018 06/13/201	8 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
HEGDE, MADHURI	03/14/2018 03/14/201	8 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
HOBBS, CHARLOTTE	05/10/2018 05/10/201	8 EXECUTIVE ASSOCIATE DEAN	Peer Review Consultant Member
JACOB, HOWARD	03/14/2018 03/14/201	DIRECTOR, HUMAN AND MOLECULAR GENETICS  8 CENTER	Peer Review Consultant Member
JI, HANLEE	03/14/2018 03/14/201	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR AND ASSOCIATE DIRECTOR.	Peer Review Consultant Member
JOFFE, STEVEN	04/09/2018 04/09/201	8 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
KEYOMARSI, KHANDAN	06/13/2018 06/13/201	HUBERT L. AND OLIVE STRINGER PROFESSOR OF  MEDICAL ONCOLOGY	Peer Review Consultant Member
KIBBE, WARREN	11/30/2017 11/30/201	DIRECTOR, CENTER FOR BIOMEDICAL INFORMATICS AND INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	Peer Review Consultant Member

KORF, IAN	11/30/2017	11/30/2017	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
KULLO, IFTIKHAR	03/14/2018	03/14/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
LAMB, DOLORES	05/10/2018	05/10/2018	DOW PROFESSOR OF UROLOGY	Peer Review Consultant Member
LEVY, SASHA	06/13/2018	06/13/2018	GROUP LEADER	Peer Review Consultant Member
LI, JUN	04/03/2018	04/03/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
MACCOSS, MICHAEL	11/30/2017	11/30/2017	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
MALLON, ANN-MARIE	03/05/2018	03/05/2018	PROGRAMME LEADER	Peer Review Consultant Member
MASLEN, CHERYL	05/10/2018	05/10/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
MATISE, TARA	04/03/2018	04/03/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
MCBRIDE, KIM	05/10/2018	05/10/2018	PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR AND DIVISION CHIEF	Peer Review Consultant Member
MCCARTHY, JAMES	03/22/2018	03/22/2018	CHAIRMAN'S FUND PROFESSOR AND PROGRAM LEADER	M Peer Review Consultant Member
MEAD, DAVID	11/17/2017	11/17/2017	FOUNDER AND CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER	Peer Review Consultant Member
MEHLMAN, MAXWELL	03/27/2018	03/27/2018	ARTHUR E. PETERSILGE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
MOLONEY, DANIEL	03/20/2018	03/20/2018	RESEARCH ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
MURRAY, DIANA	06/13/2018	06/13/2018	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
NELSON, JOHN	11/17/2017	11/17/2017	SENIOR PRINCIPAL SCIENTIST	Peer Review Consultant Member
O'CONNELL, MARY	07/10/2018	07/10/2018	REGENTS PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
O'DONNELL, PETER	03/14/2018	03/14/2018	ASSISTANT PROFESSOR OF MEDICINE	Peer Review Consultant Member
ORDOVAS, JOSE	03/14/2018	03/14/2018	DIRECTOR AND PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
OSTRER, HARRY	06/13/2018	06/13/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
OUELLETTE, B.F.	04/03/2018	04/03/2018	VICE PRESIDENT	Peer Review Consultant Member
PARKER, LISA	04/09/2018	04/09/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
PARKINSON, HELEN	03/05/2018	03/05/2018	TEAM LEADER	Peer Review Consultant Member
PARSONS, DONALD	05/10/2018	05/10/2018	CO-DIRECTOR, BRAIN TUMOR PROGRAM	Peer Review Consultant Member
PETERSON, SUSAN	03/27/2018	03/27/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
PION, GEORGINE	07/10/2018	07/10/2018	RESEARCH ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
POLLIN, TONI	03/05/2018	03/05/2018	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
REEVES, ROGER	11/30/2017	11/30/2017	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
REHM, HEIDI	03/14/2018	03/14/2018	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member

REINKE, VALERIE	03/05/2018	03/05/2018	3 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
RELLING, MARY	03/14/2018	03/14/2018	MEMBER AND CHAIRPERSON	Peer Review Consultant Member
RIEHN, ROBERT	11/17/2017	11/17/2017	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
SANKAR, PAMELA	03/27/2018	03/27/2018	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
SCHEUNER, MAREN	03/14/2018	03/14/2018	CHIEF, MEDICAL GENETICS	Peer Review Consultant Member
SCHNOLL, ROBERT	03/14/2018	03/14/2018	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
SCHWARTZ, MARC	03/27/2018	03/27/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
SHARP, RICHARD	03/27/2018	03/27/2018	DIRECTOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
SHASHI, VANDANA	03/14/2018	03/14/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
SHIMOYAMA, MARY	11/30/2017	11/30/2017	ASISTANT PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
SIMON, CHRISTIAN	11/06/2017	11/06/2017	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
SIWY, ZUZANNA	11/17/2017	11/17/2017	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
SKINNER, DEBRA	04/09/2018	04/09/2018	SENIOR RESEARCH SCIENTIST, EMERITA	Peer Review Consultant Member
SKOWYRA, DOROTA	03/22/2018	03/22/2018	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
SLAVOTINEK, ANNE	05/10/2018	05/10/2018	PROFESSOR OF CLINICAL PEDIATRICS	Peer Review Consultant Member
SMITH, SHAD	03/14/2018	03/14/2018	ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
SPECTOR, LOGAN	05/10/2018	05/10/2018	SUZANNE HOLMES HODDER CHAIR IN PEDIATRIC	Peer Review Consultant Member
SPICER, PAUL	04/09/2018	04/09/2018	S ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
SPRITZ, RICHARD	05/10/2018	05/10/2018	PROFESSOR AND DIRECTOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
STEIN, LINCOLN	11/30/2017	11/30/2017	PROFESSOR AND PROGRAM DIRECTOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
STRONG, LOUISE	05/10/2018	05/10/2018	SUE AND RADCLIFFE KILLAM ENDOWED CHAIR	Peer Review Consultant Member
SUNDARAM, MEERA	03/05/2018	03/05/2018	3 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
SUTTON, GRANGER	2 03/05/2018	03/05/2018	B PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
TABOR, HOLLY	04/09/2018	04/09/2018	3 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
TARINI, BETH	03/27/2018	03/27/2018	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review
THUMMEL, KENNETH	03/05/2018	03/05/2018	PROFESSOR	Consultant Member Peer Review Consultant Member
TRUNNELL, MATTHEW	04/03/2018	04/03/2018	VICE PRESIDENT AND CHIEF INFORMATION OFFICER	Peer Review Consultant Member
TUNSTAD, LINDA	03/20/2018	03/20/2018	B PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
TURAN, NAHID	06/13/2018	06/13/2018	DIRECTOR OF LABORATORY OPERATIONS AND PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR	Peer Review Consultant Member

UETZ, PETER	06/13/2018	06/13/2018	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
VILAIN, ERIC	03/14/2018	03/14/2018 I	DIRECTOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
VILLAREJO, MERNA	03/22/2018	03/22/2018 I	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
WANG, KAI	05/10/2018	05/10/2018	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
WANG, TING	03/05/2018	03/05/2018	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
WANUNU, MENI	11/17/2017	11/17/2017	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
WATERSTON, ROBERT	03/05/2018	03/05/2018	WILLIAM H. GATES III ENDOWED CHAIR IN BIOMEDICAL SCIENCES AND CHAIRMAN	Peer Review Consultant Member
WHITE, HENRY	11/17/2017	11/17/2017 I	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
WHITE, OWEN	06/13/2018	06/13/2018 I	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
ZAVALA, MARIAELENA	07/10/2018	07/10/2018 I	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member

**Number of Committee Members Listed: 132** 

# **Narrative Description**

The goal of NIH research is to acquire new knowledge to help prevent, detect, diagnose, and treat disease and disability, from the rarest genetic disorder to the common cold. The NIH mission is to uncover new knowledge that will lead to better health for everyone. NIH works toward that mission by supporting the research of non-Federal scientists in universities, medical schools, hospitals, and research institutions throughout the country and abroad. Section 492 of the PHS Act states that The Secretary ...shall by regulation require appropriate technical and scientific peer review of -(A) applications...; and (B) biomedical and behavioral research and development contracts...

# What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

	Checked if Applies
mprovements to health or safety	
Trust in government	
Major policy changes	
Advance in scientific research	✓
Effective grant making	✓
mproved service delivery	
ncreased customer satisfaction	
mplementation of laws or regulatory requirements	
Other	

#### **Outcome Comments**

#### What are the cost savings associated with this committee?

	Checked if Applies
None	
Unable to Determine	<b>∀</b>
Under \$100,000	
\$100,000 - \$500,000	
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000	
\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000	
\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000	
Over \$10,000,000	
Cost Savings Other	

#### **Cost Savings Comments**

NIH supported basic and clinical research accomplishments often take many years to unfold into new diagnostic tests and new ways to treat and prevent diseases.

What is the approximate <u>Number</u> of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?

2,190

#### **Number of Recommendations Comments**

Grant review

What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendations that have been or will be <u>Fully</u> implemented by the agency?

0%

#### % of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments

NIH Peer Review Committees are involved in the initial review of research grant applications. The NIH dual peer review system is mandated by statute in accordance with section 492 of the Public Health Service Act. The charge to this committee is to determine scientific and technical merit of the individual grants or contracts. These recommendations are forwarded to Federal officials who generally accept the committee's recommendations and favorable applications are then forwarded for the second level of review performed by Institute and Center (IC) National Advisory Councils or Boards. Only applications that are favorably recommended by both the initial peer review committee and the Advisory Council may be recommended for funding.

What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendations that have been or will be <u>Partially</u> implemented by the agency?

0%

### % of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments

NIH Peer Review Committees are involved in the initial review of research grant applications. The NIH dual peer review system is mandated by statute in accordance with section 492 of the Public Health Service Act. The charge to this committee is to determine scientific and technical merit of the individual grants or contracts. These recommendations are forwarded to Federal officials who generally accept the committee's recommendations and favorable applications are then forwarded for the second level of review performed by Institute and Center (IC) National Advisory Councils or Boards. Only applications that are favorably recommended by both the initial peer review committee and the Advisory Council may be recommended for funding.

Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to
implement recommendations or advice offered?

Yes ✓	No	Not Applicable
163	140	Not Applicable ""

# **Agency Feedback Comments**

The agency provides written and oral reports.

# What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?

	Checked if Applies
Reorganized Priorities	
Reallocated resources	
Issued new regulation	
Proposed legislation	
Approved grants or other payments	<b>X</b>
Other	

#### **Action Comments**

An action of "approved" or "recommended" for grants receiving initial peer review by this committee does not infer that the grant will be or has been funded. Research grant applications submitted to NIH must go through a two-step review process that includes the initial peer review for scientific and technical merit and a second step of review and approval by a National Advisory Council for program relevance. In addition, prior to an

award or funding being made, NIH staff must conduct an administrative review for a number of other considerations. These include alignment with NIH's funding principles, review of the project budget, assessment of the applicant's management systems, determination of applicant eligibility, and compliance with public policy requirements. After all these steps have been completed, NIH officials make funding decisions on individual grant applications.

## Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants?

Yes

What is the estimated <b>Number</b> of grants reviewed for approval	114
What is the estimated <b>Number</b> of grants recommended for approval	114
What is the estimated <b>Dollar Value</b> of grants recommended for approval	\$282,428,106

#### **Grant Review Comments**

NA

# How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

	Checked if Applies
Contact DFO	<b>X</b>
Online Agency Web Site	✓
Online Committee Web Site	
Online GSA FACA Web Site	✓
Publications	
Other	

#### **Access Comments**

Contact IC Committee Management Officer. 240-669-5201